

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/045,462	11/07/2001	John A. Ewen	31223-81316 2085		
7590 04/09/2004		EXAMINER			
David J. Alexander			CHOI, LING SIU		
Fina Technology, Inc. P. O. Box 674412			ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
Houston, TX 77267-4412			1713		
			DATE MAILED: 04/09/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

÷.	Application No.	A	pplicant(s)			
	10/045,462	E	WEN, JOHN A.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	A	rt Unit			
	Ling-Siu Choi	T	713			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover	sheet with the cori	respondence ad	dress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period who is reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, howe within the statutory min vill apply and will expire s cause the application to	ever, may a reply be timely imum of thirty (30) days wi SIX (6) MONTHS from the b become ABANDONED (filed Il be considered timely mailing date of this co 35 U.S.C. § 133).	/. ommunication.		
Status						
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 Ja</u> 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-finance except for for	mal matters, prose		merits is		
Disposition of Claims		•				
4) Claim(s) 37-78 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 67-78 is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) 37-55 and 62-66 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 56-61 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	n from considera					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the conference of the c	epted or b) obj drawing(s) be held ion is required if th	e drawing(s) is objec	7 CFR 1.85(a). ted to. See 37 CF			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) 🔲	Interview Summary (P' Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Informal Pate Other:	<u> </u>	D-152)		

Art Unit: 1713

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office Action is in response to the Amendment filed January 9, 2004. Claims 1-36 were canceled and claims 37-78 are now pending, wherein claims 37-66 are drawn to a polymer product prepared in the presence of a catalyst system and claims 67-78 are drawn to the catalyst system. Claim rejection under 35 USC 112 is removed and rejection of claims 56-61 under 35 USC 102(e) as being anticipated by Kaminsky et al. (US 4,769,510) is maintained.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

3. Claims 56-61 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kaminsky et al. (US 4,769,510).

The rejection is adequately set forth in paragraph 7 of Paper No. 8 and is incorporated herein by reference.

Art Unit: 1713

Response to the Amendment

4. Applicant's Amendment filed January 9, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant: "In any case, Kaminsky fails to disclose an indenyl group which is substituted with at least one hydrocarbyl radical as set forth in applicant's independent claim 56. In this respect, the reference to substituted groups in Kaminsky is with reference to cyclopentadienyl groups and not indenyl groups. This is further reinforced by the examples in Kaminsky and the Kaminsky claims, which are directed to ethylene bis(4,5,6,7-tetrahydroindenyl)zirconium dichloride."

Examiner: it is noted that indenyl represented by C₉R'_m could include tetrahydroindenyl because the formula do not include number of hydrogens.

Furthermore, the present claims are drawn to the product-by-process claims. "The claim is unpatentable even though the prior product was made by a different process" (emphasis added). *In re Thorpe*, 777 F.2d 695, 698, 227 USPQ 964,966 (Fed. Cir. 1985). And, the polymer disclosed by Kaminsky et al. is isotactic. Thus, the rejection of the present claims is maintained.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. This application contains allowable subject matter (claims 37-55 and 62-66) because the prior art of record [US 4,769,510 to Kaminsky et al. and US 4,522,982 to

Art Unit: 1713

Ewen], either alone or in combination, fails to teach or suggest the polymer product prepared in the presence of a catalyst comprising a silicon hydrocarbyl radical as the interannular bridge, the use of the silicon hydrocarbyl radical as the interannular bridge resulting in a high intrinsic viscosity and T_m compared with the use of hydrocarbyl radical as the interannular bridge. If the non-elected claims are canceled and the hereinabove rejections under 35 USC 102(e) are obviated, the application could be passed to issue.

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1713

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ling-Siu Choi whose telephone number is 571-272-1098.

If attempt to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu, can be reach on 571-272-1114.

Ly S. Chan'

Ling -Siu Choi

April 4, 2004